

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL NO. 2009-21

(Updates the hearing procedures of the Municipal Code relating to parking infractions)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2009-21, that will update the hearing procedures of the Municipal Code relating to parking infractions.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

No businesses identified

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None identified

Beneficial effects:

None identified

Direct effects:

None identified

Indirect effects:

None identified

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost identified

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Hearing bond requirement established. Unable to estimate amount to be collected; will depend on number of hearings requested. In addition, the bond does not function as a fee but as security for the payment of any liability of the person requesting the hearing, with any excess to be returned.

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

See #5 above

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: May 6, 2009